arXiv:cond-mat/9901108v3 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 26 Jul 1999

Low energy collective excitations in a superfluid trapped Fermi gas

M. A. Baranov and D. S. Petrov
Russian Research Center Kurchatov Institute,
Kurchatov Square, 123182 Moscow, Russia

(February 1, 2008)

We study low energy collective excitations in a trapped superfluid Fermi gas, that describe slow variations of the phase of the superfluid order parameter. Well below the critical temperature the corresponding eigenfrequencies turn out to be of order the trap frequency, and these modes manifest themselves as the eigenmodes of the density fluctuations of the gas sample. The latter could provide an experimental evidence of the presence of the superfluid phase.

PACS number(s): 03.75.Fi, 05.30.Fk

The search of a novel behavior of a gaseous system in the confined geometry of a trap attracts a lot of attention since the discovery of BEC in trapped gas samples of alkali atoms [1–3]. This behavior is mostly related to the combined effects of an interparticle interaction and quantum statistics, which plays a dominated role below the degeneracy temperature. In the case of a Bose system, the latter corresponds to the critical temperature of BEC. For a Fermi system the degeneracy temperature equals to the Fermi energy $T_F = \varepsilon_F$, and at temperatures below T_F the Pauli exclusion principle should be taken into account [4–6]. Remarkably, that at much lower temperature (called the critical temperature $T_c \ll T_F$) there could be a transition into a superfluid phase, as a result of Cooper pairing. Possible versions of the transition have recently been discussed in Refs. [7–10]. Although the pairing strongly influences only a small fraction ($\sim T_c/\varepsilon_F \ll 1$) of quantum states in the vicinity of the chemical potential, it nevertheless changes the behavior of the Fermi system significantly, because precisely those states govern the response of the system to a small external perturbation. For example, as it was shown in Ref. [11], the superfluid pairing changes (smears out) the resonance structure of the gas density oscillations in a parabolic trap.

In this paper we study low energy collective excitations in a superfluid Fermi gas trapped in an isotropic harmonic potential. These excitations correspond to the phase fluctuations of the order parameter $\Delta(\mathbf{r})$ and, as shown, well-below the transition temperature their eigenfrequencies are of the order of the trap frequency. The damping of the excitations is expected to be small, and, therefore, these modes are well-defined collective excitations. They manifest themselves as oscillations of the superfluid component of the Fermi gas, and hence, at low enough temperature, when the density of a normal component tends to zero exponentially, these collective excitations define the eigenmodes for the density fluctuations of the entire gas sample. As these modes exist only below the transition temperature, their experimental observation could provide an indication of the presence of the superfluid phase.

Specific properties of the collective modes in a superfluid phase depend on the structure of the order parameter and, hence, on the type of pairing. For a singlet s-wave pairing the order parameter is a complex function, and one has two branches of collective excitations corresponding to the phase and modulus variations of the order parameter. For a triplet p-wave pairing the order parameter is a complex 3×3 matrix, and, hence, additional branches of collective modes appear (see, e.g., Ref. [13]). However, in both cases the lowest in energy branch corresponds to the fluctuations of the phase of the order parameter (Bogolyubov sound). In this paper we study this mode for a trapped superfluid Fermi gas. For simplicity we consider the case with a "singlet" s-wave pairing, that implies the presence of an attractive interatomic interaction. This situation can be realized in a gas of ^{6}Li atoms in a magnetic trap, where they are characterized by a large and negative triplet s-wave scattering amplitude $a \approx -1140\mathring{A}$ [14] between the two hyperfine components.

We consider a two component gas of fermionic atoms (α and β atoms) trapped in an isotropic harmonic potential. We assume the two components (for example, hyperfine components) have equal masses and concentrations. The Hamiltonian of the system is ($\hbar = 1$)

$$H = \sum_{i=\alpha,\beta} \int d\mathbf{r}\psi_i^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) H_0 \psi_i(\mathbf{r}) + V \int d\mathbf{r}\psi_\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{r})\psi_\alpha(\mathbf{r})\psi_\beta^\dagger(\mathbf{r})\psi_\beta(\mathbf{r}), \tag{1}$$

where $\psi_i(\mathbf{r})$ with $i=\alpha,\beta$ are the field operators of α and β atoms, $H_0 = -\nabla^2/2m + m\Omega^2 r^2/2 - \mu$, Ω the trap frequency, m the mass of the atoms, and μ the chemical potential. The second term in Eq. (1) corresponds to an attractive elastic short-range interaction between α and β atoms (s-wave scattering length $a<0$) with $V = 4\pi a/m$ being the coupling constant. In the Hamiltonian (1) we neglect the interaction between atoms of the same sort, originating in the case of fermions only from the scattering with orbital angular momentum $l \geq 1$. The presence of an attractive intercomponent interaction in the s-wave scattering channel leads to a superfluid phase transition [9] with the critical temperature $T_c \ll \mu$. We assume that T_c is much larger than Ω and, hence, the value of the critical temperature in the trap is very close [10] to the critical temperature $T_c^{(0)}$ in a spatially homogeneous gas with the density being equal to the maximum density n_0 of the trapped gas sample, $T_c^{(0)} = 0.28\,\varepsilon_F \exp\{-1/\lambda\}$ [15], where $\lambda = 2|a|p_F/\pi$ is the small parameter of the theory ($\lambda < 1$), $p_F = (3\pi^2 n_0)^{1/3}$ and $\varepsilon_F = p_F^2/2m$.

The superfluid phase is characterized by the order parameter (complex function) $\Delta(\mathbf{r}) = |V|\,\langle\psi_\alpha(\mathbf{r})\psi_\beta(\mathbf{r})\rangle$. The equilibrium form of $\Delta(\mathbf{r})$ for a trapped gas sample was studied analytically in Ref. [11] and numerically in Ref. [17]. The appearance of $\Delta(\mathbf{r})$ strongly influences only the quantum states within the range of order T_c near the chemical potential level. As a result, the gas density profile changes only slightly ($\Delta n(\mathbf{r})/n(\mathbf{r}) \sim T_c/\mu \ll 1$), and hence, one has $n(\mathbf{r}) = n_0(1-(r/R_{TF})^2)^{3/2}$ in the Thomas-Fermi approximation for both normal and superfluid phases ($R_{TF} = p_F/m\Omega$ is the Thomas-Fermi radius of the gas cloud). The interparticle interaction leads to corrections to this formula. However, the leading the mean-field corrections [16] are proportional to the small parameter of the theory λ, and hence, will be neglected.

At finite temperatures it is convenient to study the evolution of the system in imaginary (Matsubara) time $\tau \in [0, 1/T]$ [18]. If one splits the order parameter $\Delta(\mathbf{r},\tau)$ into its equilibrium part $\Delta_0(\mathbf{r})$ ($\Delta_0^*(\mathbf{r}) = \Delta_0(\mathbf{r})$) and a small fluctuation $\delta(\mathbf{r},\tau) = T\sum_\omega \delta_\omega(\mathbf{r})\exp(-i\omega\tau)$, where $\omega = \pi T(2n+1)$ with n being an integer, is the Matsubara frequency, then the equation for $\delta_\omega(\mathbf{r})$ reads (see, e.g. [**?**])

$$\frac{\delta_\omega(\mathbf{r})}{|V|} = T\sum_{\omega_1}\int d\mathbf{r}'\{G_{\omega+\omega_1}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')G_{-\omega_1}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\delta_\omega(\mathbf{r}') - F_{\omega+\omega_1}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')F_{\omega_1}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\delta^*_\omega(\mathbf{r}')\} \tag{2}$$

(the field $\delta^*_\omega(\mathbf{r})$ obeys a complex conjugate equation). In Eq. (2) $G_\omega(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')$, $F_\omega(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')$ are the normal and anomalous Green functions of the Hamiltonian

$$\widetilde{H}_0 = \int d\mathbf{r}\left\{\sum_{i=\alpha,\beta}\psi_i^\dagger H_0\psi_i + \Delta_0(\mathbf{r})(\psi_\alpha\psi_\beta + \psi_\beta^\dagger\psi_\alpha^\dagger)\right\}$$

in the Matsubara representation. They can be expressed through solutions $(u_\nu(\mathbf{r}), v_\nu(\mathbf{r}))$ of the Bogolyubov-de Gennes equations

$$H_0\begin{pmatrix} u_\nu(\mathbf{r}) \\ v_\nu(\mathbf{r})\end{pmatrix} + \Delta_0(\mathbf{r})\begin{pmatrix} v_\nu(\mathbf{r}) \\ -u_\nu(\mathbf{r})\end{pmatrix} = \varepsilon_\nu\begin{pmatrix} u_\nu(\mathbf{r}) \\ -v_\nu(\mathbf{r})\end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

which define the Bogolyubov (unitary) transformation from the bare fermions ψ_α, ψ_β to single-particle excitations α_ν, $\beta_\nu$with energies $\varepsilon_\nu \geq 0$

$$\begin{pmatrix}\psi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \\ \psi_\beta(\mathbf{r})\end{pmatrix} = \sum_\nu\left[u_\nu(\mathbf{r})\begin{pmatrix}\alpha_\nu \\ \beta_\nu\end{pmatrix} + v_\nu^*(\mathbf{r})\begin{pmatrix}\beta_\nu^\dagger \\ -\alpha_\nu^\dagger\end{pmatrix}\right], \tag{4}$$

such that the Hamiltonian $\widetilde{H}_0$ is diagonal. Then the Green functions are

$$G_\omega(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2) = \sum_\nu\left\{\frac{u_\nu(\mathbf{r}_1)u_\nu^*(\mathbf{r}_2)}{i\omega - \varepsilon_\nu} + \frac{v_\nu^*(\mathbf{r}_1)v_\nu(\mathbf{r}_2)}{i\omega + \varepsilon_\nu}\right\},$$

$$F_\omega(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2) = \sum_\nu\left\{\frac{u_\nu(\mathbf{r}_1)v_\nu^*(\mathbf{r}_2)}{i\omega - \varepsilon_\nu} - \frac{v_\nu^*(\mathbf{r}_1)u_\nu(\mathbf{r}_2)}{i\omega + \varepsilon_\nu}\right\}. \tag{5}$$

For the fluctuation of the phase of the order parameter one has $\delta_\omega(\mathbf{r}) = -\delta^*_\omega(\mathbf{r}) = 2i\Delta_0(\mathbf{r})\varphi_\omega(\mathbf{r})$ with $\varphi_\omega(\mathbf{r}) \ll 1$ being a real function, and Eq. (2) can be rewritten in the form

$$\frac{\delta_\omega(r)}{|V|} - \int d\mathbf{r}' K_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\delta_\omega(\mathbf{r}') = \int d\mathbf{r}' K_\omega(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\delta_\omega(\mathbf{r}'), \tag{6}$$

where $K_0 = T\sum_{\omega_1}(G_{\omega_1}G_{-\omega_1} + F_{\omega_1}F_{\omega_1})$ is the static kernel and $K_\omega = T\sum_{\omega_1}(G_{\omega+\omega_1}G_{-\omega_1} + F_{\omega+\omega_1}F_{\omega_1}) - K_0$ the dynamic one.

For $(T_c - T)/T_c \ll 1$ the order parameter is small, $\Delta(\mathbf{r}) \ll T_c$, and one can neglect the contribution from the anomalous Green functions. Under the assumption $T_c \gg \Omega$, the normal phase Green functions $G_\omega(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')$ can be taken in the semiclassical approximation. Then for a slow varying function φ one gets the following results for the left and right hand sides of Eq. (6)

$$\frac{\delta_\omega(\mathbf{r})}{|V|}\mathbf{r}-\int d\mathbf{r}' K_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\delta_\omega(\mathbf{r}')=2iN(R)\frac{7\zeta(3)}{96\pi^2}\frac{\Omega^2}{T_c^2}\left(\frac{\Delta_0}{\sqrt{1-R^2}}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\left[(1-R^2)^{3/2}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi\right]+2(1-R^2)\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\Delta_0\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi\right), \quad (7)$$

where $\zeta(z)$ is the Riemann zeta function, $N(R)=(mp_F/\pi^2)\sqrt{1-R^2}$ the density of states on a local Fermi surface $(R=r/R_{TF})$, and

$$\int d\mathbf{r}' K_\omega(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\delta_\omega(\mathbf{r}')=2i\Delta_0(R)N(R)\frac{\pi\,|\omega|}{16T_c}\varphi. \quad (8)$$

For $T\ll T_c$ the calculations are more laborious. In this case one can use, for example, Eqs. (5) and various relations between u_ν and v_ν, that follows from Eqs.. (3) and from unitarity of the Bogolyubov transformation, Eq. (4). The answer for the left hand side of Eq. (6) is

$$\frac{\delta_\omega(\mathbf{r})}{|V|}-\int d\mathbf{r}' K_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\delta_\omega(\mathbf{r}')=2i\frac{\Omega^2}{4mv_F^2\Delta_0(R)}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\left[n(R)\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi\right]. \quad (9)$$

The kernel $K_\omega(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')$ can be calculated by using Eqs. (5) with the semiclassical solutions of the Bogolyubov-de Gennes equations (3), which were found in Ref. [11] for the considered case of a spherically symmetric harmonic trapping potential. In this case there are two different types of excitations: in-gap and above-gap. The eigenenergies of the in-gap excitations are smaller than the maximum value of the order parameter $\Delta(0)$, and their wave functions $(u_\nu(\mathbf{r}),v_\nu(\mathbf{r}))$ are pushed by $\Delta(\mathbf{r})$ to the outer part of the gas sample [11]. For this reason these states give only exponentially small contribution ($\sim\exp(-T_c/\Omega)$) to the right hand side of Eq. (6), and, hence, only above-gap excitations are important. The calculations with slow varying function φ then yield

$$\int d\mathbf{r}' K_\omega(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\delta_\omega(\mathbf{r}')=-2i\omega^2\frac{N(R)}{4\Delta_0(R)}\varphi. \quad (10)$$

(This result can also be obtained by using the Green functions $G_\omega(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')$ and $F_\omega(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')$ in the local density approximation.)

After making an analytic continuation in Eqs. (8) and (10) from the Matsubara frequency ω to a real one E, and combining the results with Eqs. (7) and (9), we finally obtain the equations describing the collective modes related to the phase fluctuations of the order parameter (Bogolyubov sound).

For $(T_c-T)/T_c\ll 1$ one has

$$-\frac{7\Omega^2\zeta(3)}{6\pi^3T_c}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-R^2}}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\left[(1-R^2)^{3/2}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi(\mathbf{R})\right]+2(1-R^2)\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\ln\Delta_0\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi(\mathbf{R})\right)=iE\varphi(\mathbf{R}), \quad (11)$$

and it follows from this equation that for temperatures close to the critical temperature T_c the eigenenergies E are purely imaginary: the collective mode decays rapidly into pairs of single-particle excitations.

For $T\ll T_c$ the equation reads

$$-\frac{\Omega^2}{3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-R^2}}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\left[(1-R^2)^{3/2}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi(\mathbf{R})\right]=E^2\varphi(\mathbf{R}), \quad (12)$$

and in this case the eigenenergies E of the collective modes are real and of order the trap frequency Ω. These modes being excited results in oscillations of the superfluid current $\mathbf{j}=(n/m)\nabla\varphi$ and density $n=n_0+\delta n$, that are related to each other by the continuity equation $\partial n/\partial t+\mathrm{div}\mathbf{j}=0$. (For $T\ll T_c$ one can neglect the contribution of the normal component of the gas and hence, $\mathbf{j}_s=\mathbf{j}$ and $n_s=n$.) As a result, the entire gas sample oscillates according to the formula

$$n(\mathbf{r},t)=n_0(\mathbf{r})+\delta n(\mathbf{r},t)\approx\left[1+\frac{1}{m}\nabla^2\psi\right]\quad n_0(\mathbf{r}+\frac{1}{m}\nabla\psi),$$

where $\psi(\mathbf{r},t)=\int^t\varphi(\mathbf{r},t')dt'$.(The prefactor in the square brackets ensures the conservation of a total number of particles.)

The damping of the collective modes, which does not contained in Eq. (12), will be mainly determined by processes of decay and scattering on in-gap excitations (these mechanisms of damping of collective modes in Bose-condensed atomic gases are often called as Beliaev and Szepfalusy-Kondor mechanisms respectively; see, e.g. [20]). One can say that the energy of the collective mode converts into a normal component generated in the outer part of the gas sample.

But because the coupling between the order parameter fluctuations and the in-gap excitations is exponentially weak ($\sim \exp(-T_c/\Omega)$) , the damping is expected to be small.

It should be mentioned that Eq. (12) can be obtained in the framework of a hydrodynamic description of a superfluid Fermi gas. When the superfluid velocity $\mathbf{v}_s = m^{-1}\nabla\varphi$ and the deviation of the particle density δn from its equilibrium value n_0 are small, the corresponding Hamiltonian has the form

$$H_{\mathrm{h}} = \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ \frac{1}{2m} n (\nabla\varphi)^2 + U(n_0 + \delta n) \right\} \approx \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ \frac{1}{2m} n_0 (\nabla\varphi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} U''(n_0)\delta n^2 + U(n_0) \right\}, \tag{13}$$

where $U(n)$ is the density dependent part of the energy. (The equilibrium density n_0 is defined by the condition $U'(n_0) = 0$.) In the Thomas -Fermi approximation,

$$U(n) = 2\int_{p \le p_F(n)} \frac{p^2}{2m} \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} + \left(\frac{m\Omega^2 r^2}{2} - \mu \right) n = \frac{3}{10}(3\pi^2)^{2/3} \frac{n^{5/3}}{m} + \left(\frac{m\Omega^2 r^2}{2} - \mu \right) n, \tag{14}$$

and the equilibrium gas density profile $n_0(r) = (p_F^3/3\pi^2)\sqrt{1-R^2}$, as it should be. (In Eq. (14) we do not include the effects of the mean field interaction and the superfluid pairing because they contain small parameters λ and $(T_c/\varepsilon_F)^2$ respectively.) For the quantity $U''(n_0)$ in Eq. (13) one now has $U''(n_0) = (3\pi^2)^{-2/3}N(r)^{-1}$, and the standard commutation relation $[\delta n(\mathbf{r}_1), \varphi(\mathbf{r}_2)] = i\delta(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2)$ leads to the equations

$$\partial\varphi/\partial t = i\,[H_{\mathrm{h}}, \varphi] = U''(n_0)\delta n$$
$$\partial(\delta n)/\partial t = i\,[H_{\mathrm{h}}, \delta n] = -\nabla(n_0\nabla\varphi)/m,$$

from which one immediately gets Eq. (12) for the phase dynamics and the equation

$$\partial^2(\delta n)/\partial t^2 + \frac{\Omega^2}{3}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \left[(1-R^2)^{3/2} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{\delta n}{\sqrt{1-R^2}} \right] = 0 \tag{15}$$

for the density fluctuations.

Equation (12) (or (15)) together with the condition that φ (or δn) is finite, provides us with the eigenfrequencies and eigenfunctions of the collective modes of the superfluid Fermi gas. For a spherically symmetric breathing modes (angular momentum l is zero) one has for the eigenfrequencies

$$(E_{n0}/\Omega)^2 = \frac{4}{3} n(n+2), \; n = 1, 2, \ldots \tag{16}$$

($n = 0$ corresponds to a constant phase and, hence, has no physical significance), and for the eigenfunctions

$$\varphi_{n0}(\mathbf{R}) \propto \; {}_2F_1(-n, n+2; \frac{3}{2}; R^2), \tag{17}$$

where ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ is the hypergeometric function.

For nonzero angular momentum l,

$$(E_{n0}/\Omega)^2 = l + \frac{4}{3} n(n+l+2), \; n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \tag{18}$$

and

$$\varphi_{nl}(\mathbf{R}) \propto R^l \; {}_2F_1(-n, n+l+2; \frac{3}{2} + l; R^2) \mathrm{Y}_{lm}(\theta, \phi). \tag{19}$$

(Note, that the eigenfunctions (17) and (19) are orthogonal with the weight $1/\sqrt{1-R^2}$.)

The spectrum (16) and (18) coincide with that for a trapped normal Fermi gas in a hydrodynamic regime [21], and the lowest eigenfrequencies are equal to those for a classical gas [22]. The lowest eigenmodes are of a special interest because they can be excited by a modulation of the trap frequency. (A small external perturbation V_{ext} results in an extra term $-iEV_{\mathrm{ext}}$, or $\partial V_{\mathrm{ext}}/\partial t$ in the time representation, on the right hand side of Eq. (12).) It is reasonable to assume that the trapped Fermi gas just above T_c is in a collisionless regime ($\Omega\tau \sim \Omega(\lambda^2 T_c^2/\varepsilon_F)^{-1} \sim (\Omega/\lambda^2 T_c)\exp(1/\lambda) \gg 1$), where the lowest monopole, dipole and quadrupole collective modes were calculated in [23]. For the superfluid phase, as follows from Eqs. (16) and (18), the lowest eigenfrequency E_{10} for the monopole breathing mode ($l = 0$, $n = 1$) is equal to 2Ω (this result can be obtained on the basis of the sum rules [24]), and one has the anticipated result $E_{01} = \Omega$ for a dipole mode $l = 1$, $n = 0$. These eigenfrequencies coincide (within the considered accuracy) with those from Ref. [23]. On the other hand, Eq. (18) gives $E_{02} = \sqrt{2}\Omega$ for the lowest quadrupole mode, while one has $E_{02} = 2\Omega$ [23] in the collisionless regime above T_c. Experimentally the quadrupole mode can be excited by a small anti-phase modulation of the trap frequency in, for example, x and y directions, $V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = (m\Omega^2/2)(x^2 - y^2)\zeta \cos(\omega t)$ with $\zeta \ll 1$, and the response of the gas sample for $T > T_c$ and $T \ll T_c$ will have resonances at different frequencies, 2Ω and $\sqrt{2}\Omega$ respectively.

In conclusion, we have found the low energy collective modes in the superfluid trapped Fermi gas. These modes are related to the fluctuations of the phase of the superfluid order parameter, and, hence, describe the motion of the superfluid component of the gas. Just below the critical temperature of the superfluid phase transition the eigenenergies of the collective modes are purely imaginary, and these modes describe the diffusive relaxation of a superfluid fluctuation. For temperatures well below T_c, the eigenenergies are of order the trap frequency, and the damping is small. Therefore, these modes can manifest themselves as the eigenmodes of the gas density oscillations that can be observed experimentally, and serve as an indication of the superfluid phase transition.

We acknowledge fruitful discussions with A.J. Leggett, G.V. Shlyapnikov and L. Vichi. This work was supported by the Stichting voor Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie (FOM), by INTAS (grant 97.0972), and by the Russian Foundation for Basic Studies (grant 97-02-16532).

---

[1] M.H. Anderson, J.R. Ensher, M.R. Matthews, C.E. Wieman, and E.A. Cornell, Science, **269**, 198 (1995).
[2] C.C. Bradley, C.A. Sackett, J.J. Tolett, and R.G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. Lett., **75**, 1687 (1995).
[3] K.B. Davis, M.-O. Mewes, M.R. Andrews, N.J. van Druten, D.S. Durfee, D.M. Kurn, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett., **75**, 3969 (1995).
[4] Th. Busch, J.R. Anglin, J.I. Cirac, and P. Zoller, e-print cond-mat/9805037.
[5] L. Vichi, M. Inguscio, S. Stringari, G.M. Tino, J. Phys. B **31,** L899 (1998).
[6] B. DeMarco and D.S. Jin, Phys. Rev. A **58**, R4267 (1998).
[7] H.T.C. Stoof, M. Houbiers, C.A. Sackett, and R.G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 10 (1996).
[8] M.A. Baranov, Yu. Kagan, and M.Yu. Kagan, JETP Lett. **64**, 301 (1996).
[9] M. Houbiers, R. Ferwerda, H.T.C. Stoof, W.I. McAlexander, C.A. Sackett, and R.G.Hulet, Phys. Rev. A **56**, 4864 (1997).
[10] M.A. Baranov and D.S. Petrov, Phys. Rev. A **58**, R801 (1998).
[11] M.A. Baranov, preprint cond-mat/9801142.
[12] D. Pines and Ph. Nozieres, *The theory of quantum Liquids*, (W.A. Benjamin, INC. New-York Amsterdam, 1966).
[13] D. Vollhardt, P. Wölfle, *The superfluid phases of helium 3*, (Taylor&Francis,London,1990).
[14] E.R.I. Abraham, W.I. McAlexander, J.M. Gerton, R.G. Hulet, R. Côté, and A. Dalgarno, Phys. Rev. A **55**, R3299 (1997).
[15] L.P. Gor'kov and T.K. Melik-Barkhudarov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **40**, 1452 (1961) [Sov. Phys. JETP **13**, 1018 (1961)].
[16] G.M. Bruun and K. Burnett, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 2427 (1998).
[17] G.M. Bruun, Y. Castin, R. Dum, and K. Burnett, Euro. J. Phys. (in press).
[18] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics*, Part 2, (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1980).
[19] G. Eilenberger, Zeitschr. für Phys. B **214**, 195 (1968).
[20] P.O. Fedichev, G.V. Shlyapnikov, and J.T.M. Walraven, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80,** 2269 (1998).
[21] G.M. Bruun and C.W. Clark, cond-mat/9905263.
[22] D. Guéry-Odelin, F. Zambelli, J. Dalibard, S. Stringari, cond-mat/9904409.
[23] L. Vichi and S. Stringari, cond-mat/9905154.
[24] A.J. Leggett, private communication.